

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004 LB 1047

purchased a policy and diverted money during that time, certainly that would be subject to being brought back in as an asset. So I do...I want to maybe think about that a little bit if this goes forward, and clarify that on the record, so that there is no ambiguity, because that will create a lot of nebulous situations if we're unclear about that. And I'll let you respond to that if you would like.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Well, actually, in line 14 of the amendment, it talks about increase through contributions, premiums, or any other payments made within three years prior to bankruptcy. So it does use that language. But since there is a great deal of confusion over working out the look-back and the total cost, I don't want to spend a lot of time going through details like that here on the floor. So I am going to ask, if...Senator Bromm, I'm on your time...but if I might withdraw the amendment so that we could work out all of those details to everyone's satisfaction?

SPEAKER BROMM: You can use my time...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...for that purpose.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: I will yield the rest of my time, if she needs any more, to Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. I appreciate that. I think that that's a very legitimate question. And it's certainly something that we would want to check into and make sure that we wouldn't negate a policy because premiums were paid as scheduled during that time frame. My understanding is different from Senator Bromm's. So with your consent, I would withdraw the amendment. Well, actually, I can't. Senator Beutler will have to withdraw his amendment. And I will yield to Senator Beutler to see if he would agree. Senator Beutler,