

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004 LB 616, 1047

bury you if you're gone, and she would still have a little bit left to just kind of survive during that transition period.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: I mean, I have very mixed emotions on this. I understand where you're trying to go. And I guess in my case, I would want my wife to definitely have something when I was gone. But yet, on the other hand, if I owe the bills, it bothers me. So I'm going to sit and listen. I'm not sure what I'm going to do. But I do have some mixed emotions on this. And I would give you some time, if you would like.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Cunningham, members of the body. I was just looking through with Senator Quandahl, and the Beutler amendment, while I think we have agreement on the cap, Senator Beutler, it does take away the look-back period. And I don't know if you want to still acknowledge a look-back period. Are you comfortable with no look-back period at all? All right.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: No look-back period. The look-back period, without further refinement, is contrary to at least my philosophy in terms of how this could and should be used.

SENATOR REDFIELD: All right. The current \$10,000 exemption that is in law today doesn't have a look-back. So you're seeing that...this as an inflationary increase, plus a little bit over the last 25 years. So I can agree to that. But I wanted to make sure that everyone understood that that's the case here, so that if they had a problem with that we could reintroduce that three-year look-back language. Thank you, Mr....

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Redfield. Senator Quandahl, Beutler amendment.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. The underlying bill, LB 616, as Senator Redfield introduced it, was really to try to protect widows and orphans. You know, unfortunately, with some of the amendments that we're talking about here today, we're getting away from that concept a little bit. And particularly, I want to make you aware that