

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004 LB 1047

your money, so you're talking about an investment, a lifetime investment, that would yield not very much money for a family to live on, but it's...you know, it's something. And if you would be amenable to doing that, perhaps we could pull this amendment and substitute with a \$50,000 cap. Is that what you're suggesting?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, given this late stage in the session, if that's agreeable to you, I would do that.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Yes, that would be agreeable. Thank you, Mr. President. I will yield my time to Senator Beutler.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, about a minute and a half.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, I would simply withdraw that amendment and ask the Clerk to refile it with the amount of \$50,000 inserted instead of \$16,000 in the appropriate places. Which is an unfair request to the Clerk, but I'll approach the Clerk here.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President, members, I had wanted to discuss further some of the elements that are just being worked out right now, in terms of whether there was any cap amount in the Redfield amendment, and whether there wasn't a chance that we could arrive at some cap amount that might be somewhat fairer and allow us to move forward on LB 1047. But since this is being worked out, I would yield my time to Senator Brashear.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I...thank you, Senator Brown. I want to be a positive force here. If we're going to work out an amendment that has a cap, then I think that's a great policy discussion for the body to have and decide at what size they want the cap. But I thought maybe a little practicality would help when were talking about the prior circumstance. I wouldn't want somebody to overrely, in my opinion--this is my personal opinion--I wouldn't