

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2004 LB 559

SENATOR BAKER: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. So there is a contradiction in the Final Reading copy as it relates to whether one form of ID, or one form of verification or of identification is required for a state ID card?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes. And in addition to that, of course, they have to have a Social...a valid Social Security number also. That's...we've never talked about changing that until now.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Chambers, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, would you respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Yes, I will.

SENATOR BOURNE: And this is one of those rare occasions, Senator Chambers, that I'm going to defer to your experience and knowledge, if you'd indulge the body. Senator Chambers, you heard my dialog with Senator Baker, and he indicated that there is a contradiction in the Final Reading copy in that one section of the Final Reading copy requires one form of ID to get a state ID card, and another section requires two forms of ID to get a state ID card. Did you hear that exchange?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I did.

SENATOR BOURNE: So that is in the amendment, the Final Reading version that we're being asked to vote on. Is that an accurate statement?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: So, Senator Chambers, what does that mean as it relates to the agency who is going to effectuate the statutes that we may potentially adopt here in LB 559?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I think they should review the legislative history and realize that our intent is that one piece of