

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2004 LB 559

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I would appreciate that, Senator Bourne. This...the amendment we're working on now, or asking to adopt, AM2936, is dealing with state ID cards, not driver's license. We're not changing that one form that we did change on that amendment you're referring to. That's not changing that language. This amendment we're proposing here today is not changing that language. I think where we're crossed up here is between driver's license requirements and state ID cards, and we're requiring two forms with this amendment, two forms of ID to get a state ID card, Senator Bourne. Does that make any sense to you? Go ahead, it's your time.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you. Okay, I understand now, Senator Baker. And again, yeah, you're saying that this relates to state ID cards rather than driver's licenses. But under the statute, is a state ID card an alternate form of ID? So what we're saying, they need either a certified birth certificate or another form of ID, but we make the other form of ID, read, the state identification card, hard to get; what good is it?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, it's an additional requirement, Senator Bourne. There's not question about it. If you...granted, if you have to have two forms of ID to get a state ID card where you used to have one, it's tougher. I understand that. That's a policy we...decision we have to make.

SENATOR BOURNE: Well, I guess what I'm suggesting, Senator Baker, is we already made that decision, and now the DMV wants to turn around and change that, and I'm struggling as to why we did it. And I realize it's 1:00 on a...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...the end of the week, and I don't know, do we want to extend the debate like we've had on each round of it? I mean, is this something that is an absolutely got-to-have in the bill?