

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2004 LB 559

admit that. But I'd be glad to try and answer any questions, and if you have questions, and a DMV representative is out back here, we'd be glad to maybe go out there and visit with her also. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Bourne, on the motion to return.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I'm looking at AM2241 that we discussed earlier that was adopted. It was a Senator Baker amendment. And that amendment says, on page 6, lines 8 through 18, strike the new matter and reinstate the stricken matter. In line 27, strike "two forms" and insert "one form." And in line 28, strike "shall include" and insert "may be." So on one of the levels of debate, AM2241, the body adopted the policy that one form of identification shall be required. And now the Department of Motor Vehicles is asking that we reinstate something that the body elected in a previous round of debate to delete. Let me tell you what the language says in the final copy version of LB 559, after two rounds of debate, and again significant discussion. This is the Final Reading copy that Senator Baker and DMV are asking us to amend. Line 22, page 6: Any individual under the age of 18 years applying for an operator's license or state identification card shall provide a certified copy of his or her birth certificate or...that's new language that we added on one round of debate...a certified birth registration. In lieu of a certified copy of a birth certificate or certified birth registration, the applicant shall furnish one other form...one other reliable form of documentation providing proof of his or her identity. So I think, Senator Baker, I can yield this time to you if you'd like it, but I think what you're asking us to do is change back to an "and" from an "or," and so again this is something that we took out on a previous round of debate. We as a body made a policy decision that it was going to be an "or," meaning one form or another of ID, and the DMV is asking us to go back to one form of ID and another form. And I'm struggling as to why we would do that when we already made that policy decision of adding an "or." Senator Baker, if you'd like the remainder of my time...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker.