

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2004 LB 559

several concerns and one was providing multiple pieces of identification. Does this change...I'm looking at the language in 2(b), in lines 8 through 20 on page 1 of your AM2936, does it change anything that we had previously taken out of LB 559 in terms of...

SENATOR BAKER: No, it does not.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay.

SENATOR BAKER: It does not.

SENATOR BOURNE: So the language that says that anybody under 18 years of age shall provide a certified copy of his birth certificate, his or her birth certificate, and one other form of proof of ID, that's...I thought we had taken it out where there was only one piece of ID that was required.

SENATOR BAKER: We did on I think it was Select File, we took that out, and we're putting that back in. That was in the original bill, Senator Bourne. On General File it was there, and for whatever reasons, somehow or other, we got it down to where it was not the required two forms.

SENATOR BOURNE: Well, I thought, Senator Baker, that that was a motion or an amendment that we had adopted to eliminate the two forms of ID requirement.

SENATOR BAKER: I don't think so. We change the requirement of Social Security cards if you could not obtain one for a state ID card. I don't recall doing that.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. I...thank you, Senator Baker. Hopefully there's other people that are wishing to speak on this, and I'm going to go look through the amendment that we considered on previous rounds of debate. But I thought we had had extensive debate on either General File or Select File, because the original bill called for multiple pieces of identification to be presented and we thought that was too onerous. And I'm fairly confident that we had eliminated that requirement, and so there was only one form of ID required. And this one here says that