

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2004      LB 139

everyone can live with because I don't think any of us want to have a situation where someone is fired from a job on the basis of a test that proves to not be reliable. We don't want that to happen. And if there is a way we can use technology to help us prevent that from happening, we want to do it. I'm just not sure that the Chambers amendment gets us where we want to go. I think it is far too broad. I think it encourages people to do substitutions rather than encouraging them not to. And I think that...you know, he made reference to being able to increase the amount of DNA in a sample. And that is a process called...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROWN: ...amplification which I talked about earlier. But that process only works if you have the DNA material in a sample. A sample from a body of blood or saliva is going to contain that DNA. A sample of liquid outside the body that does not contain cellular materials is not going to have DNA to be amplified, and so we have a significant problem here with urine. And so I think that there are some issues that, if in good faith, there are those of us who want to see people protected in sort of the way that Senator Chambers is proposing, I think we'd better arrive at safe language or not adopt this policy. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brown. Speaker Bromm, you're recognized.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. At this time I would like to make an agenda change, and I would propose to move LB 139...leave LB 139 right now and go to LB 172, and we'll put LB 139 back on after LB 999. And one more thing, I assume the body was planning on this, but we do plan to work through lunch today and adjourn, hopefully, at 1:30, 2:00, if possible. So thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Speaker Bromm. Mr. Clerk, do you have items for the record?

ASSISTANT CLERK: Thank you, Mr. President. We have received three Attorney General's Opinions, one addressed to Senator