

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2004 LB 139

it, Senator Chambers will offer an amendment that strikes the three words that are contained in my amendment which eliminates any other civil matter. Then we will have the correct text before the body in the one amendment, the only amendment that we can have pending. And now to explain. What we are accomplishing then are the purposes of Senator Johnson's bill, the primary objective of Senator Chambers' amendment, but we are eliminating the three-word phrase, "any other civil," which is an understood but not specifically defined phrase that might be construed as providing people additional rights beyond that which are specified. Senator Chambers' interest, as he has indicated, is as to area of employment, and we would then have an amendment that deals with applying the substance of the Johnson bill to employment matters. So we would have criminal matters from the original green copy text, we would have employment matters from Senator Chambers' amendment; Senator Brown's interests are already protected and served because what she would have codified in the state law is that which is already codified and established as preemptive in the federal law; so that that process will get us to where we are going. And I simply thought that I'd try and articulate it, and hopefully we can move in that direction expeditiously because once at least the people who have signaled their primary interest in the substance have agreed, then we no longer would have to talk it to death. So I will hope that we can get on this course quickly and be judicious with your time. Thank you for your attention.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you Senator Brashear. Senator Landis, on the motion to return by Senator Chambers.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature. I was looking at the language, I wasn't sure if the way I read it was accurate and I did have some concern about some open-endedness of what I thought the language said. At one point in what looks to be page 1, bottom of page 1, top of page 2, lines 21 through about 23 and then 1 through 2, it says, "If the DNA report concludes that the initial body fluids were not those of the person," and I think this is the person who requested the test, "the person shall be cleared of any accusation of wrongdoing to the extent to which such body fluid