

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 25, 2004      LB 1034

them anything.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:    So we can say,...

SENATOR BRASHEAR:    Except for the plot.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:    ...regardless, they will not pay taxes, in your view.

SENATOR BRASHEAR:    That's correct, if they do it correctly.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:    Now, can I use another term that maybe some of my colleagues would understand better? "Irregardless," they don't have to pay taxes, based on your position.

SENATOR BRASHEAR:    You know, that only recently became in vogue. As old as you and I are, there was a time when "irregardless" was considered to be inappropriate, because everything was regardless.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:    Exactly. But now, "irregardless" of that,...

SENATOR BRASHEAR:    It's in the dictionary now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:    (Laugh) Okay. Thank you. And that's all I have to offer. But I am going to support this bill. Senator Brashear's discussion was interesting. And even if true, I believe that the bill should be advanced, and I will support it. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK:    Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, on the advancement of LB 1034.

SENATOR BEUTLER:    Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I probably shouldn't be standing and speaking, because we're on this free-ranging discussion, though I can't help myself. But I would have said, as Senator Raikes said, I would have pointed out the reasons for an estate tax. And I think that it's really important to understand these historic cycles. I mean, the whole reason why we have an estate tax is because in the late nineteenth century and the early twentieth century people