

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 24, 2004 LR 209

with many issues over the past two years and, obviously, the budget has been a part of that. I have yet to figure out specifically how much time we've spent on the budget, but I do know that we have spent 26 hours and 40 minutes on casinos. Wow! So the Legislature is consumed by this issue, so I guess it seems fitting that we would be consumed on Senator Landis' submarine and I would offer that this is probably the torpedo that he does not want. But do what you will. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Erdman. Senator Tyson.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. The subject matter of LR 209CA is about one thing and that is how do you cut the pie. That is the subject of the const...proposed constitutional amendment and that is not the subject of Senator Brown's amendment. Granted that if you're going to pick fly tracks out of pepper, you need very, very sharp eyesight and a very long sharp tweezers. I believe that the Chair is correct in ruling on the germaneness of this because of all you have to do is say, what is the subject of LR 209CA? It's how you divide up the lottery. Okay. Now what does Senator Brown want to do? She wants a casino bill. I know what she can do. She can come back next year and try again, but her amendment now is not germane to LR 209CA. I'm going to vote to support the Chair and I would urge everyone else to do so as well. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Tyson. Senator Brown, there are no further lights on, if you would like to close on your germaneness overruling the Chair motion.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I think that some of the arguments that have been made about a new precedent are pretty amazing in light of what we have already done. And I'm not sure that I can do a better job than the slick lawyer and the king cobra in making the case that we are dealing with the same section of law. But I also am not sure that we are ready or willing to take the time at this point to deal with this. And so even though I believe that we are talking about the same section of law, we are talking about a