

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 24, 2004 LB 1049

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Madam President. Members of the Legislature, wanted to make a couple of things known. Again, Senator Landis, just finishing up, talked about...talking about the merits of the bill or the fact that the bill may not have any merits that he spoke to, that's fine. And my point is this, and Senator Chambers exchanged a little dialogue with me earlier, I'm not frustrated by his procedure. I'm just learning it. Parliamentary procedure has put us in a philosophical position and a practical position. The philosophical position is this; I can make decisions bill by bill what I really feel like I enjoy, I think got merits, I think that should move on. My feeling is, from a very high philosophical standpoint, is that not another bill would have to pass and our life would still go on. I still believe that. That was my point. Second piece of it is I don't want to tell anybody else to follow my lead, Senator. I want people to be able to grab it and understand that philosophical argument. Say look, the practical piece of this is now the price of getting a bill passed here. In this particular instance, it's 33 votes. That's all I'm saying. Parliamentary procedure here dictates that some votes...some bills take 25 votes, some take 33. Senator Chambers and others, Senator Smith has done it as well, have proven on various occasions that certain bills are out there and it is going to require more. This is one of them. I'm not frustrated. I'm just telling you I'm ready to vote on this bad boy now because I don't think these...this little thing is going to change. That's my point and that's really it. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Friend. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Chambers, yours is the last light that is on. Would you wish to make this your closing on your motion? Fine, thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, somebody may feel the need to respond to something I may say and they may not, but it takes a motion like this sometimes to bring some people into the discussion. Now I mean the motion, that's not why I offered it just to generate discussion. But Senator Landis spoke and he made again the point that those of us who are interested in