

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 24, 2004 LB 1049

SENATOR BOURNE: But what I...

SENATOR LOUDEN: If you're on Social Security and it's been paid in for it, then I think you're eligible.

SENATOR BOURNE: But what I see is that you are saying that senior citizens are somehow more...should be more qualified in receiving unemployment insurance benefits than, say, should...than a...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...working-age person who has no other source of income.

SENATOR LOUDEN: No, I don't think...no, I think you're, what is it, 180 degrees wrong, or different, on that. No, I don't think that one person has any more right to benefits over the other. Whoever is eligible is eligible, and that's what I think the unfairness is now, that there are people on Social Security that are eligible, and the benefits have been paid for them, so they therefore should be able to receive the benefits, and they don't in Nebraska at the present time.

SENATOR BOURNE: All right. So your argument is, because that individual paid into the Social Security account, that shouldn't be held for them for some other program.

SENATOR LOUDEN: True, just like if it was a railroad account or a veterans account. That isn't held against them.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Senator Louden. I see it a little differently. I see the fact that what we're doing is opening up these seniors, but I see that we're doing that to the detriment of the people who really need it. And when somebody is on Social Security, they have income. When somebody, the people that Senator Cunningham are talking about, are terminated, they don't have any income. And again, 13 weeks is...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay, time.