

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 24, 2004 LB 1049

unemployment, and they're giving her an eight-week disqualification period, but she's still eligible for \$725 in benefits. I mean, these are the kind of people we're talking about. I just cannot understand why we're trying to protect them. They need to be a little responsible for their own actions. I am absolutely not changing any other part of the law, that people that get laid off, business is slow, they're fired, whatever, if they're just fired for normal reasons, they still collect, they still collect. And so I would ask you to please...in my mind, I'm considering it common sense. I'm not sure Senator Loudon and Chambers were having...or Bourne, somebody was having the common sense argument. But I think it's only common sense to take those things into consideration. We definitely are not trying to hurt the honest employee who through no fault of their own quits. And I would return my time to the Chair. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Cunningham. Senator Bourne. And it will be your third time, Senator.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. You know, in a vacuum, what Senator Cunningham says is absolutely true. I'm not sure that an employee that inappropriately touches someone should be rewarded, and I think that's what Senator Cunningham is suggesting, is that somehow this is a reward. But I'm also not sure that there, number one, aren't other mechanisms in the law that would punish this individual, such as the assault statutes. And number two, I don't know this man's situation. Maybe he has two children. Maybe he has three kids. Maybe he lives in a...I don't know. But what I'm saying is, okay, so if this individual acted inappropriately, we have criminal statutes to take care of that. Why should we punish his family? And you're assuming, you're supposing that somehow these individuals aren't going to be impacted by the man's...the employee's conduct. My personal opinion is, is that if a person inappropriately touches a coworker, there are criminal statutes that would deal with that. We should not say, since you did something that, even though we have another remedy for, that you...your family is going to suffer. I mean, we're talking about a modest amount of money. I think the average benefit here--Senator Beutler can correct me, because I haven't looked