

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 24, 2004 LB 973

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...Lancaster County.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...contributing city taxpayers' money, if that's how you're funding it, to some right back in the other pocket to the city, but some to the county, and some to the school district.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right. And in different situations, it could go the other way. Sometimes it will be school land. And of course, that tax is shared too, but the proportions...the savings would be a little bit different to a particular entity,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...to any particular entity.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. Well, I appreciate that explanation. Thank you, Senator.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Stuthman.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I have a...you know, a real problem with the greenbelting and the process that it entails. How do you come up with, you know, what is owed back for the past three years? Do you go as to what the value was at the present time when you did sell it, take that value into consideration for the prior three years? Or do you scale it up? I am not sure how that works. And it...to me, it really creates a problem. In my area, that...you know, where land is now bringing \$20,000 an acre, right close to the city, I think on the agriculture portion of it it's valued at \$2,000 in that area, up to \$5,000. Does a person have to take that \$20,000 and put the taxes on that for the past three years? Or do you go back to a scale of \$5,000 one year, \$10,000 one year, \$15,000 the next year? How do you come up with...you