

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2004 LB 1048

attendance center or changing the grades offered without the approval of at least 75 percent of the school board if any of the following three conditions are met: one, the fall membership for the prior school year included enough resident students to average at least two students in the grades offered; or the attendance center is at least ten miles from another elementary attendance center within the district; or the attendance center is the only elementary attendance center located in an incorporated city or village. The relocation of students to an alternative attendance center for two years or less would not constitute the closing of an attendance center or change...or a change, rather, in the grades offered. "Resident students" would mean those students who reside within the boundaries of the school district which contains the attendance center in the school year for which the students are counted. And I'll talk more on this as we go, but this is a distinction between resident students and option students. This means that for the first year that a former Class I attendance center is in a K-12 district, that the number of resident students for the prior year would be determined based on the boundaries of the former Class I. In the second year, the number of resident students would be determined based on the boundaries of the K-12 district. This is a lot of detail, I appreciate. But I hope you understand that this represents a work effort whereby we were trying to accomplish the main objective here, that is the merging of the districts, and we were trying to accommodate the concerns that were presented to us. One of the concerns was distribution of Class I property in the K-12 districts. We worked on that very hard, and we've come up with the three methods that are there now, which clearly don't satisfy everybody, but I think have gained considerable approval. The second thing, the second major concern we heard, was the maintenance of attendance centers. People were concerned that the K-12 board...and again, we're talking here that...you are not as a Legislature closing any school building. It would be the decision of the K-12 board. But there was concern that there needed to be protection from a decision of the K-12 board. And we decided to try to accommodate that, and we did so by taking into account the size of the school, particularly resident students. And ones that had more than 15 resident students we regarded as viable attendance centers, so we