

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2004 LB 983, 1065

creates a lot of uncertainty in the potential funding of EPIC Fund by increasing the variable fuel tax. And I don't think we want to even have that possibility in statute, to be honest with you. So with that, I'd return the rest of my time to the Chair. And if I could answer any questions, I'd be glad to. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Bromm, followed by Senator Kremer. Senator Bromm.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. I was just looking at the computer here and trying to understand what we have. And, Senator Connealy, if I could see if I'm correct here, this bill came out of Revenue with a bunch of kind of cleanup stuff and kind of repealing some antiquated statutes and that kind of thing. And it would appear that your amendment was filed just today. Is that right?

SENATOR CONNEALY: That's correct.

SPEAKER BROMM: And it's, I think if we're going to put some credence in this amendment, it is somewhat significant or it could be significant. As Senator Baker said, there are conflicting statutes almost side by side. This statute, 4,142, directs the department to increase the motor fuel tax to make up for any shortfall in reimbursement from the EPIC Fund. So that seems clear enough. But that was put in place in 1990 and then, subsequent to that, in 1992 in some ethanol legislation, 66-1345, provides that the ethanol production credits shall not be funded through the Highway Trust Fund. So we have diametrically opposing statutes. Now your amendment would put it back in so that we'd still continue to have that contradiction. And I would ask or I guess I would suggest whether or not under the circumstances, when we haven't yet debated LB 1065, whether or not you would consider perhaps withdrawing your amendment and resubmitting it on Select File, since there's been very little opportunity with it being filed today for us to digest whether this is a wise move or not. I would just ask you to consider that, if you would respond, or maybe you need to think about it if you do.

SENATOR CONNEALY: We could do it on Select.