

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2004 LB 1241

your check once you get it. And anybody getting a check, especially in these dollar amounts, knows that they've got a check coming, so they should certainly follow up, check internally. There should be some kind of a business mechanism, you would think, to double-check and find out what may have happened or why a warrant wasn't cashed. So rather than pay out...and this equals almost \$300,000 here, so we're not talking small amounts of money. The one goes back to, I believe it's, 1997. So these are very old. They really have not been overseen very well, in my opinion, by good business practices, and the committee did want to send a message that if this money is important to you, you should be paying attention to it; you should be cashing your warrants when they come; if they're not getting to the right person, somehow internally you need to establish some business practices. No one came in to the committee hearing to appear requesting anything on any of these three. No one also appeared from the Department of Revenue, so we couldn't even ask questions. The companies that requested the warrants weren't there and appearing so, once again, it appeared they really didn't care very much; they really weren't that interested in this money. So, just as Senator Vrtiska said, if they really want it, they still have a process by which they can try to recoup this money, but the committee felt strongly that we needed to send a message that if you get a check in the mail at least cash it if you're interested in the money. If you're not interested and don't want to cash it, you allow that warrant to go one full year before it expires, because these all went that full year before they even looked into it. And one, as I said, went; did apply and had a second one issued, and that one went for a year and wasn't cashed either. So it showed some lack of responsibility, in my judgment. It showed some financial mismanagement almost. It didn't show any concern, and when they didn't even appear before the committee to try to request or justify or explain, maybe they had a good explanation but they weren't even willing to come in and do that, so the committee did want to send a message and I think we have done that. And I would think most people would agree if you got a check and your money is of interest and of value to you, I surely wouldn't let a check sit that long, let it expire, and in one case request a second one and let that expire after a year. Thank you.