

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2004 LB 1093

to the jurisdiction of the state's laws and its courts, and that's how you make them liable for damages to this innocent third party. And judgments are to be honored in every state, and that's pursuant to the U.S. Constitution full faith and credit. Who else would have even thought about this, as I asked rhetorically on the article I handed around? You all don't know the manner of man that you have in your midst. And you know why I say it? Because if I say it you'll miss it. How many people would read about a tragedy like this and not feel something? But how many would say, I've got to...

SENATOR HARTNETT: Time, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, you're recognized.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. To continue, how many would say, I've got to come up with a way to do something to help these people? Can you imagine what it would be like to get the word on television of this terrible accident that killed instantly the persons victimized in the accident and you find out that it was a relative? At that time, you might not be able to think of anything beyond what's happened. You might not even be able to feel grief. The shock, the trauma, those things will combine, and maybe the person would not even be able to function for a while, but a point will be reached. There always will be a morning after. The reality sets in. Life, even though it's more difficult now, must go on. That family is going to suffer a financial loss, financial difficulties. There are funeral expenses. There might even be some medical expenses for the survivors. Money cannot replace somebody who has been lost, but what the law has said is that some way must be found to help this victim to be made whole, to mitigate the harm done by whatever it is that caused that person to be a victim, so money will be the method. And I did make this law subject to the Tort Claims Act so that a person would not be able to claim more against an out-of-state originating cause than would be the case if it originated within Nebraska. There must be equal protection and application of the law. So by having the same law apply to out-of-state causes that come into Nebraska that would apply if the state (sic) originated in Nebraska, those side or tangential legal issues don't even come into the picture. I would venture to say that this family is unaware of