

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2004 LB 1093

death of their loved one or loved ones, as the case may be.
Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I don't support the motion to reconsider. I do want to tell you that I am pleased that the committee or the body, rather, has gone along with the committee's recommendation to move or, yes, to amend LB 1093 so that we've got a three-year extension involving the \$1.05 and the temporary aid adjustment. I, frankly, wished we...you had been willing to go along a little bit with a little bit more than that, but I understand your reluctance. I think caution is probably a solid practice in these sort of times, but I would remind you, as Senator Wehrbein has, that you need to be thinking about how it is, if we're not going to do any additional property tax levy, how are we going to address the fiscal situation we face. Again, I call your attention to the green sheet. We're in the hole. Basically, we're in the hole even with this, with Senator Wehrbein's amended amendment being adopted. Without it, why, it's \$100 million worse. Some of you, I know, have suggested, well, what needs to happen is that there need to be more cuts, and I appreciate that, that concern, although I will tell you that to some extent that...well, or to a large extent that's going to be very, very difficult. I've been provided some information from the Fiscal Office on what we've done over the past four years, what the Appropriations Committee and the body has done over the past four years, during the time that we've dealt with this budget difficulty. I can tell you, for example, the increase over the four-year period to the university system has amounted to about \$14 million. That's on a base of about \$425 million. What's not mentioned in that is that if you take into account the number of employees and the additional cost that is incurred in providing health insurance and other benefits to those employees, that would have cost about \$75 million. So, during the past four years, yes, the university has received an increase of about \$14 million, but the additional costs, just personnel costs associated with benefits, have been \$75 million. So the real cut to them, in terms of providing the same service with the same amount of people, has been substantial. If you want to go to the places