

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2004 LB 1093

the hook here to do it. And I don't read anything in this body today or next year that says we're going to provide \$100 million more in resources to adequately fund our schools. I don't even see \$50 million. I don't even see \$20 million. The fact of the matter is, we're going to have to face it. And that's who we should be thinking about, is our children and our grandchildren. And the funds have to come from somewhere. I repeat, but I say, they have to come from somewhere. And so if we were to go home with \$1.05 and it's not adequate, we're locked into following the formula. And that's enormous amount of money. Now there's some real hope with \$1.07, by the way, that we may not...if growth is modest in expenditures, if revenue growth is reasonable, valuations, admittedly, may go up, there is going to...there could be adequate funding even at \$1.07 perhaps. And we can look at that next year. But I think we ought to be sending the signal to...all over this state, that we are going to adequately fund education, one way or the other. One way or the other we're going to do what we have to do. And I can't help but think that most families across the state think that that's what we ought to do. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK PRESIDING

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Hartnett, your light is next.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Madam President and members of the body, I had my light on before to ask Senator Raikes some questions, but I think...Senator Wehrbein, I did support...I did not support the \$1.10. I supported the \$1.07 because I think it's a...I think Senator Chambers offers us a worthy compromise. We have had extra pressure put on our schools. The federal government with their no child left behind, if you talk to the people in the State Department of Education, that has put more burdens on our schools that we didn't have a year ago. So I think we have to fund the schools. If you don't want to do...if we hear different ideas, you know, I've got an income tax, it will not...it would match, I think, my income tax will take care of the \$30 million if that's what you want to do. But we have to fund this. We've started this and I think this is a reasonable, reasonable compromise that Senator Chambers puts forward to us.