

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2004 LB 1093

trying to cut the monkey's tail off an inch at a time so it don't hurt so bad. It's a raise in taxes, so it's a raise in taxes. Last year, we got the \$1.05 slipped to us on a bundle that went along with the rest of the budget, and that was supposed to be something that would work out. First of all, this is something that really doesn't need to be done. The schools don't actually need this money. This is something that's made to correct your bookkeeping situations, more of a kind of a mirror and smoke type deal. So I don't think we really matters whether or not we pass it or not. I would still rather stay with the \$1.05. If we want to increase it for another two to three years, that's probably all right too. Once we've already cut the tail off this far, I guess it won't make any difference at the \$1.05 mark. But as far as increasing it, or negotiating for a couple or three cents, I think we're in the same predicament as we were before. I don't see where it makes any difference. If we don't have enough money to fund public education like we're doing, I don't think the problem is going to be solved by throwing more dollars at it. The problem would be solved by overhauling the whole system the way we're funding education. Some districts get a considerably amount more money than others, and have facilities that are way beyond the other facilities of some of the other school districts. Some of the school districts that get a great deal of money have quite a few amenities, such as swimming pools and athletic facilities, while other ones that don't receive any state aid are finishing it the whole way. So I think the whole question of the education and state aid to it I think can be resolved by going with the amendment as the committee has brought it out. And I'll probably support that amendment, that if they want to go for another two years or on out to 2008, that I can handle that. But as far as this amendment, FA1580, I don't see as I can support that. I don't see any difference in it than the one we just voted down. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Loudon. Senator Chambers. And this will be your third time, Senator, on your amendment, outside of closing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I don't expect that this issue will be resolved