

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2004 LB 1093

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If we would have taken Senator Wehrbein's amendment, more money could have been raised at the local level, and that would have put less burden on the state. Is that correct?

SENATOR RAIKES: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Did you vote for Senator Wehrbein's amendment?

SENATOR RAIKES: I did.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Not very many, though, joined you. Do you think it is the feeling of the body that we should go back to \$1.00 and accept whatever the consequences are? Or might there be a feeling that there's an alternative other than doing nothing, and taking the bill with the committee amendment? Do you think some might feel there's another alternative, forgetting me, because you know what my view is?

SENATOR RAIKES: Well Senator, I would argue that taking the bill, with or without the committee amendment, is in fact something in between. Because without Senator Wehrbein's amendment, it does not...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...correct the fiscal situation that we're in, at least to the extent that the committee had planned on.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Thank you, Senator Raikes, because my time is just about up. The only mention I'm going to make of the income tax will be twice while I'm speaking--that reference; and the second one will be to say that during this time that I'm speaking I won't mention the income tax. But until I see something offered that is practical and ongoing, I don't intend to support the committee amendment or the bill to which it is proposed to be attached. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Stuhr,