

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2004 LB 1093

maximum allowed by the state by a certain amount, then the school district would not need to revisit the issue. If it were a specific levy, then they may need to.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay. Do you have any idea how many have done it which way or...?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR RAIKES: I don't, Senator. I can tell you that in statute there is language that would do it, or suggested language, I guess you could say, that would do it the former way.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay, thank you, Senator Raikes. I appreciate that. Just a couple other comments in my minute that's left. We're talking about shifting back to property tax and probably the most regressive tax there is. It does not at all depend on your ability to pay where income tax definitely does. Sales tax does to some extent. If you don't have money, you can't buy anything. But the property taxes is completely based on something other than your ability to pay. And it's not only the issue here of a state aid where that's gone back to property tax in the last few years. We've cut aid to counties, aid to cities, aid to NRDs, community colleges, we gave them \$30 million for two different years that they cut back on property tax. We have decreased all of these...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR KREMER: ...amounts so that it's all going back on property tax on this so thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Senator Bromm, on the Wehrbein amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. I haven't heard all of the discussion because of some other distractions, so I hope I'm not asking questions or retrodding ground that's already been covered. But let me say that I do have concerns that we have some certainty for schools when it comes next spring so