

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 19, 2004 LB 514

happen to have that, it's got Projected Budget Increases-Following Biennium, and I noticed that in Retirement-Defined Benefit Plans, it's the estimate for the next business...or the next biennium is \$13 million.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, we are looking at that and that primarily will be from the school plan and...

SENATOR RAIKES: So that's a similar plan to the one...or those plans are similar to the one that you're dealing with here with the patrol.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, defined benefit.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, and again the problem there is that the state is, basically, underwriting a specific benefit paid to the recipient in spite of what earnings in the market might be?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, that is correct. The difference between the defined contribution and the defined benefit, and the defined benefit, yes, we, as a state, do make up that difference if there is a downfall in the market in the investment. And, of course, there are some other factors that also play into that.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, thank you. And so as I understand it, this is something that the Legislature, certainly it would be prudent for the Legislature to do. However, it is a...sort of a one-year fix, if you will, and that we should expect to address this or similar issues in later years. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Speaker and members, in committee, I made a statement or two and it did get put in the media and I did get a few calls from some teachers and from some state patrol, and so on and so forth. And they assured me that there was no intent for the Legislature, for lack of a better word, to be stuck with these so-called deficits. They were going to stand up to the plate and they were going to increase their share and do everything they could so the state would not have to chip in, you might say, dollars that happened...we all know why this