

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 19, 2004 LB 1091

in order to figure that out.

SENATOR BOURNE: Well, it was kind of a trick question, Senator Raikes, because it's not there. (Laughter) Senator Chambers called me a sneaky devil. Here's something I have never understood. Whenever we take money out of the lottery allocation, we always take it from the Education Innovation Fund. And while we have, on occasion, taken a little bit of money out of the Environmental Trust, it's a...it's a million dollars here or two million dollars here, where with the Education Innovation Fund we find it okay to take, on an ongoing basis, millions of dollars out of that. Senator Raikes, could you respond to that?

SENATOR RAIKES: I think that what you say is correct in that...and I'm going to try to be evenhanded in this, is that there has been more of an inclination for the Legislature to take money out of the education side of the lottery money than the environmental side.

SENATOR BOURNE: And are we saying by that as a policy that water is more important than our kids? I mean, I know that we plundered the State Fair money yesterday and took the ribbons from the kids, or at least Senator Chambers did, and now what...we're taking something else from them as it relates to the Education Innovation Fund?

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, it's certainly a fair point. I think the other side would tell you that there is a significant part of the state budget that's devoted to education--TEEOSA, special ed funding and so on--so that the...whereas with environmental programs the other funding is not as large.

SENATOR BOURNE: But, you know, I...that could be a legitimate statement, but every time we talk about the Environmental Trust we hear, well, the voters put it there. Well, didn't the voters put the education innovation money there as well?

SENATOR RAIKES: You're exactly right. I think that was the same...the same constitutional amendment.