

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 18, 2004 LB 1089

general area is where the reductions have occurred.

SENATOR COMBS: Okay. Thank you. And that...those are prudent things to do. Those are what we call, in healthcare, quality assurance indicators. We try to use the best...make the best of what we have with our resources, and I would just expect that would be prudent management on the part of Corrections, and I appreciate that. I was curious, however, if I could just ask you one more thing. On page 42 then, when you get to General Fund across-the-board reductions, Department 46, Correctional Services 200 and 725, Corrections adult services, and depreciation surcharges, those have zero proposed cuts.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Are you on page 42, you said?

SENATOR COMBS: Yes. Can you kind of clear that up for me then why?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: What did you say?

SENATOR COMBS: If they became more efficient and needed \$13 million less and gave it back then...through efficiency, then why were they included in the zero proposed cuts on page 42?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, the reason for that, and that would be off the top of my head, because they had turned back, they weren't...we felt we didn't need to take additional cuts. We did question them if that was a proper amount of money, but it didn't make sense to have them give money back and then turn around and take another .5 percent if, in fact, they were being clear about the amount of cuts that they had offered us. So once they offered the cuts, we didn't...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...take more. I'll say it that way.

SENATOR COMBS: Okay. Well, I guess my concern is at Farmland Foods, over the past several years, many years, we did mandatory focus projects, cost-reduction projects, where we did this very