

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 18, 2004 LB 1089

foresee. I can tell you that right now the investments have come back to about 20 percent. But any time you get to a minus return, it does present some difficulties, and it takes time to iron those out.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: And I understand that, and I'm not trying to be critical. It's just...

SENATOR STUHR: No.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: ...that, in my mind, being in business, I understand that those things can happen, and defined benefit plans, in my mind, are not a good thing for the state to get into. And that seems to be what we're in. In this particular instance, it's going to cost us another four hundred and some thousand dollars. Back in the debate at that time, we were told, well, if it actually ever did happen--even though it never will--but if it ever did, we wouldn't have to put any money in; we could make the contribution rate enough to cover it.

SENATOR STUHR: Senator Cunningham, we--and let me correct you that the State Patrol has agreed to increase their contribution rate, so that we are looking at half of the amount, which is about two hundred and some thousand.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: But back when we debated the other contribution plan, we were told that they could provide all of the extra contribution to cover the shortfall if it ever happened.

SENATOR STUHR: You know, I guess we should never say never. I think someone said...you know, someone said that today. These plans...the State Patrol plan for the defined contribution goes back to 1947. And I guess that one thing that...and we have a commitment from the state. We have not made any contribution to that fund, I think it's since 1994. So yes, you know, this is an unusual circumstances. But I think what we've experienced over the last...whoever said we'd be in this budget crisis that we're in, facing right now?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Are most employees through the state, are