

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 18, 2004 LB 1089

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, and maybe if I could, the next column over, \$4,752,421, can you show me where I can arrive at that total later in the...tell where I can...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: The \$4,472,000 (sic), yes, that would be on page 17 also.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, thank...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I'll have to point those out but that's...that would be the page...

SENATOR CUDABACK: I'm sorry.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...it's on.

SENATOR CUDABACK: I'm sorry, time is up, Senators.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. On with discussion, Senator Maxwell, followed by Senator Dwite Pedersen, Senator Brashear, Senator Raikes, Senator Foley and Senator Vrtiska. Senator Maxwell.

SENATOR MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. President. I was prompted to push my light by Senator Chambers' suggestion, kind of intrigued by it. I'm not hostile to it but I thought maybe I wanted to say something in case we did take that tack where we take this whole package and move it on. I want to echo Senator Chambers' observation and a few others who've made it. It's a Herculean task Appropriations had done, making the numbers work, and also protecting certain priorities, impressive. But I also want to pick up on a point Senator Beutler made that it looks like the key to this proposal is the cut to state aid and then a potential shift of tax burden onto property. And, of course, it is potential. People don't have to go to the full \$1.10, but it's out there. It's a possibility. It concerns me because I know we...the common orthodox use of sales tax is the most regressive of the big three, income, property and sales, and I