

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2004 LB 1083

the people in the Legislature, not a lack of trust in the intentions of the people in the administration, but some skepticism about whether such a large undertaking and such a large project can be pulled off, if you will, and completed in a seamless way so that you won't have people falling through the cracks that need services, so you won't have law enforcement officers not finding a place to take someone who needs immediate help, so that you won't have local hospitals placed in a difficult situation that they're not empowered to handle. And so somehow you have to convince people that we not only have the right intentions, we have the ability and the money and the wherewithal and the organization to get this done in an orderly way, so that people won't be hurt in the process. And that takes time. And I think a lot of progress has been made. And I certainly haven't been involved in a lot of the discussions, and so I'm not as hands-on with this as some of the other senators who have already spoken, or Senator Jensen in particular. But let me say this, that from those discussions that I have been a part of, I don't think that there's a wide gap here between reaching a point where there is confidence and trust enough for almost everyone to feel that this thing is not only a great idea and a "must" thing to do, but it's also a workable plan. I don't think we're that far apart. So I think we need to keep...we need to discuss the components of the bill as we are. I think we need to keep our eye on the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...goal, and that is to have an orderly transition to community services and an orderly shutdown of the facilities that no longer meet the criteria for community services. And I think we can do that. I think that there is not anything wrong in wanting the Legislature and those that really are responsible for doing this to have some oversight until this process is complete. And the only question is, how do we maintain that oversight? Does it mean coming back here after there's been a thorough examination of progress? Does it mean ratification through some passage of resolution? I'm not sure what will make people comfortable. But there's nothing wrong with wanting the proper oversight and for wanting the progress to be monitored. I was here when we combined Health