

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2004 LB 962

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. On with discussion. Senator Bourne, followed by Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Would Senator Wehrbein yield to a question or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Wehrbein, would you yield to a question from Senator Bourne?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. I saw your amendment there, and I tell you, I think that the Environmental Trust is an appropriate place to fund this from. And I...but I'm also in agreement with Senator Beutler, in that there should be some fees associated with this. But my question is, if you look at the fiscal note for LB 962, it calls for \$2.5 million in fiscal years 2004-2005, and then it jumps up to \$4.7 million in fiscal years 2005-2006. Does your amendment call for an increase in the contribution from...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: No.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...fees, or...okay. So...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: It keeps it flat at \$2.5 million a year.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. So then what do we do for the other...or about the other...the difference between the \$4.7 million and the \$2.5 million?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well that would be Senator Schrock's decision. But the issue really would be that you wouldn't be able to do as much with \$2.5 million as you do with \$4.7 million. That's really the issue, and they would have to adjust accordingly.

SENATOR BOURNE: But is that...is this something that if we're only partially funding it, that it's just simply a waste of time and energy? Or...