

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 1084

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Erdman, I'd like to get specific too, so let's, if you will indulge me, go to page 3.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Allow me to get the amendment, Senator Chambers. One moment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I didn't understand you. Oh, okay. He needs to get his copy of the amendment.

SENATOR ERDMAN: I'm here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Erdman, on page 3, in line 7, is the language, quote, for purposes of Sections 3 to 17 of this act, know or knowingly means that a person, with respect to information, then it lists out these things. What is the significance of confining these provisions to Sections 3 to 17?

SENATOR ERDMAN: Senator Chambers, starting in Section 4 and going through Section 17 are the provisions of law dealing with either the civil, the criminal, or the contempt issues. And that's the section of law that those definitions would apply to.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you sure the criminal would be included in that?

SENATOR ERDMAN: I don't have my breakdown, but I believe that the...let me look. Hold on one moment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Well, I can just say it, because that was not meant to be a trick question. It was just...

SENATOR ERDMAN: You're right. It does not. Criminal...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to get something into the record.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...criminal does start in Section 18.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. So everything in those sections, 3 to 17, relate to the civil, and therefore no specific intent has to be proved. But when we get to Section 18, we're starting to