

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 1084

individual would have the opportunity in the courts to receive...the court may assess not more than two times the amount of the false claims submitted because of the action of the person coming with the exception of sub...so if you go through the process and say, individual says, yeah, we made a mistake, this process was put in place to ensure that individuals have an opportunity to self-report. And there are provisions in here that recognize the complexities of, say, a hospital situation, where an administrator may find out something that somebody else did. It gives them the opportunity to self-report. But it also provides that ability, I guess, for them to be compliant with the situation. It may not be elsewhere, but it is in the provisions throughout other false claims acts throughout the state, or throughout the United States, simply for that fact, allowing the individuals to be compliant; to say, look, we did make the mistake. They still would be held accountable for the same...they could be held accountable for the same damages that we already have in our statute, and that's up to two times. So instead of the three time which you would have in the event that an individual doesn't comply, now you get the opportunity to self-report, to reduce the potential damage or potential cost in complying with the act. And so if that's written by a preacher, then I guess it is. But it's still just as strict as the existing law of the state in this area. It does, however, give the individuals the incentive to self-report. And that's been part of the discussion that we've had with those who came in, in opposition, was it felt like it was heavy-handed; that they had no opportunity for themselves to be able to prove or to remedy their situation outside of going to court and simply saying, this isn't right. If they admit the guilt, much like any other provision in criminal law, you can plead guilty, and depending upon your pleading and depending upon the sentence of the judge, your sentence may be different than those who would challenge their charges at a not guilty plea and go through a process and go through that sentencing. So while it may be unique in this area, there may be some analogies that could be drawn from that. But again, this language that you see in this area did come from part of a model act. It did come from the discussions that we had with those who came in, in opposition. And I will say wholeheartedly that those who came in, in opposition, didn't