

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004      LB 1084

to find are going to be similar in scope or idea to those proposals, and that is going to be the intent of passing part of the provisions that are in the bill. There are things that were in the green copy that have not been included in the committee amendment, and that's been done after the discussions that we've had with different groups and people who deal in this area, making sure that we actually are following the appropriate procedure and making sure that we are creating a deterrent, while still making sure that those individuals who are violating the law are held accountable. The bigger picture is this. We spend billions of dollars annually on programs in Medicaid. I believe that we need to make sure that those dollars are spent accordingly and that if there is a sense that we can do it through a deterrent such as this, that is appropriate. But I also think there has to be the ability for the state to investigate and pursue legal action in the event that there is a case that needs to be brought, again to those who would fraud our Medicaid system. Because as we fraud the Medicaid system, we're taking away from dollars who were previously allocated or limited in their allocation to those who truly need it. So if we want to go ahead and allow a lax fraud control system to continue, then we are essentially allowing those who may need those services greater than others to be sacrificed at the cost of those who would seek to fraud the system, and I think that's wholly inappropriate. I think that's a violation of our state law and the intent of this body when we set the eligibility standards for those who would receive Medicaid in the state of Nebraska. Here's another reason why it would be appropriate...it is appropriate and it is important for us to proceed with this provision in some form, either through the Attorney Generals, as we've proposed, or another. The only other remedy that's available for us to recover damages or recover fraud through the system is through the U.S. Attorney. Now unless it is a big case, they're not going to waste their time saying, that's a big enough fish for us to go after, because they're looking at their limited amount of time and their limited amount of resources in order to prosecute some of these situations. Then if they have to go through this process and aren't going to recover what they believe is an appropriate amount, it's still fraud. They're not going to pursue it. And so there is a value in us going forward with something that puts