

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...if...did you say time?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I did, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Preister, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Honorable President. Members of the body, Senator Chambers, with his amendment, does raise an issue of whether or not this is a standard that's objectively measurable, and certainly he raises an appropriate question and it is difficult to measure. It's an area that I have looked at as well. What his amendment has done, and I thank him for that, is allow some time between the last time I spoke and now for me to spend time meeting with some of the people who are concerned about provisions of the bill. I did have those meetings. We did come to some agreement on some language and, subsequently, had another amendment drafted that has just come down from Bill Drafters. Actually, we had it drafted and then there was an additional change from "department" to "council" that the NDEQ wanted inserted. So the time spent on this bill has allowed agreement to be reached at this point, and I stand now to at least make people aware that the amendment is now on the computer and if they wanted to check with it, certainly people can. It's AM3191 and, to my knowledge, if anybody does want to verify it, they can check, but I believe that the cattle producers, the Farm Bureau have both signed off on it, as has NDEQ. And members in the body, like Senator Schrock, can speak for themselves, but I believe we had everyone in agreement and, when I just checked, that agreement was still holding. So at this point, the time that has been going on, and I thank Senator Chambers for his amendments and his attention to the whole bill, has at least allowed that time to pass, that agreement to be reached on this particular amendment, and when we get to it we can have that discussion. I make the comments now to at least let people know that we did meet, as I asked to do. I think everybody discussed it certainly in good faith, which wasn't a part of my concern. My concern was right now there is more attention, more interest and more willingness to make some