

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

implement it. So that's the reason I oppose the amendment. You have some other amendments coming up I think I can support, if that's any consolation. With that, I'll give you the rest of my time, Senator Chambers, if you want it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And, Senator Schrock, I would like to continue the discussion. If the word "economically" were to be stricken...or let's say first we reinstated the two words in line 15, quote, "and practicable." Then we wouldn't need...what you want to have, I think...and when I say "you," I don't mean you personally, but maybe those who are structuring this language at the federal level and those who are looking at it here...something about available technology. I think they want to make some reference to the technology that has been improved and is now available. So I don't think we would want to just reinstate the two words "and practicable," then strike all the new language, which would be "based on the best available technology economically achievable." H'm. Members of the...that's all I will ask you at this moment on your time, Senator Schrock, but I'm going to use your time. When the federal government sets out what I would call guidelines, even if they're called rules and regulations, the state can adopt more stringent requirements, I believe. When Senator Beutler was discussing existing federal regulations, he pointed out that the state can go beyond those regulations, but these will serve as the minimum, and that's good--a floor. When you're talking about constitutional protections, the U.S. Constitution establishes the floor when it comes to the guarding and ensuring of rights; it does not establish a ceiling. Therefore, states are allowed and have, in fact, enacted legislation which provided greater protection to citizen's rights than would be found in the U.S. Constitution. So even if in a federal regulation you find language such as that which we're discussing here, that does not mean the state cannot go beyond that. So the problem is, how can you do something with the best available technology without requiring the use of something which is cost prohibitive?