

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, and that's...I thought I was. I just wanted to clarify that with you. So if there's...staff has just said to me that we need to explain that there aren't hearings that are involved with this. It is simply a process or procedure whereby the county board would be required to send the zoning requirements to DEQ, so that DEQ then, in processing the application, can decide and will know whether that application meets the zoning requirements or not. So with that, Mr. President, I would turn the rest of my time back to the Chair.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Further discussion on the Schimek amendment, AM3170, which is an amendment to the Natural Resources Committee amendments, AM2826, to LB 916? Further discussion on the Schimek amendment? Senator Schimek, there are no lights on. You're recognized to close on AM3170.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President. And as promised, I am going to pull this amendment and come back to it on Select File, as I had agreed with Senator Schrock earlier. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You wish to...are you pulling the amendment?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay. It is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, next amendment.

CLERK: Senator Chambers would move to amend with FA1564. (Legislative Journal pages 1064-1065.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, to open on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, as the kids would say, listen up. This amendment is one that I do not think is that consequential; others may see it otherwise. But on page 7, in line 16, I would strike the word "economically." Here is the new language: "based on the best available technology economically achievable for specific