

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Exactly. But we don't know what a substantial change in the size or location of that facility is, do we?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, let me give you my read of what it would change. If you would make it larger, or if you were to move it, that would be a substantial change.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How much larger for it to be substantial?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, larger than the original definition in their application.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is the size measured in feet, inches, or volume?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Volume, cubic yards probably, in this case.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, if the volume will be diminished by one cubic foot, would that be a substantial change?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No. And I would tell you that these lagoons do have sediment in it, and if they would clean them out but it wouldn't be...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...making it any larger than it originally was, I wouldn't consider that substantial.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could you and I, both being reasonable men, have a different understanding of what "substantial change" means?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Oh, I think we're reasonable, and I think we would agree on what substantial is.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't think so. Because what you said I disagree with already.