

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right. Starting in line 21, we're talking about notice. And this first part is existing language.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The notice shall be published in a daily or weekly newspaper or other publication with general circulation in the area of the operation.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Uh-huh.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It doesn't say how often--and this is a genuine concern that...

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I'm interested in--it doesn't say how often this notice would have to be published. Would once be sufficient? Or should it be, as often is the case, once each week for three weeks? In the water bill, that's what we've done, and in other cases where notice is required. And I'm not asking you that to pin you down to something specific. But this simply says the notice shall be published, but it doesn't say how often. So maybe once would be enough. But in view of what we're talking about, I'm not sure that would be sufficient.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, you raise an issue here of interest. Right now, they have to publish in a newspaper in the appropriate area for their construction permit or approval, which has nothing to do with the annual permit that we're going to start if we pass this piece of legislation. Just off hand, I would say if there's no modifications or any change, there would be no need to publish it. But if there was changes of major proportion, then I could see a reason to publish notice in a paper.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And without...because that is existing language, I don't have an amendment drafted. But these are just some of the side issues...