

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

So let's horse trade this morning, two for one. The one is simple; the two are difficult. Maybe we ought to call some of the fifth-graders down from the balcony, if they're still up there, and get some assistance with math. I'm just acknowledging the presence, and I'm glad that they're here. But really, Senator Schrock...and I'm not asking a question, but sometimes you'll call me when you want me to listen to what you're saying, so I'm mentioning you by name for that purpose. This that Senator Preister is offering could have been adopted, and we could be moving down the trail without this kind of split that is developing. Senator Schrock needs to understand he doesn't have the backing of the LB 775 bandits, pirates, cutthroats, and raiders of the public till. No, he doesn't have that kind of muscle behind him. This bill can be stopped. So it would behoove him and those who are working with him to look at what an amendment is offering. Are they saying that one of these operators who has discharged into the state's waters ought not have to update, the ones who have been shown by DEQ to have the potential for doing so should not have to do this, and those who seek the construction permit should not have to do this? You think they would tell you that what is in Senator Preister's amendment is so difficult that, rather than do that, they would rather file for a state permit and a federal permit? I wouldn't have to have gone to school to understand the difference between those alternatives. I think a chipmunk could teach us something, because if you put a little-bitty something on the left-hand side and a bigger quantity of the same thing, and the chipmunk...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...wants that, the chipmunk is going to take the bigger, going to take that which is best for the chipmunk. So in this case, that which is bigger and better for the operators is that which would require them to do less work. This updating is so inconsequential that if this amendment is voted down, I'm going to offer a reconsideration motion, because I think people will not have understood what the amendment is proposing to do. As I look at the committee amendment, there is a change between it and what's in the green copy. So it probably is something the committee should work on some more,