

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

different amendments, and I have attempted to come to an agreeable language already, and have sought to work with people, and will continue to do that. There are only some of the small operators that will be affected by this. NDEQ does not know exactly the numbers, and they see this as a staffing issue, as they related it to me. And I think that, as I heard the position of the cattle producers, that that unknown of the time element is also an issue that they are concerned with. The Farm Bureau, to my knowledge, has signed off on this and doesn't have a problem with it. And NDEQ's only problem, as they stated it to me, was the uncertainty of how much staff time would go into this. To me, those aren't major reasons to not do it. And since we've limited it to those operators that have already discharged into the state, those operators that had the potential to discharge to the state, as determined by NDEQ--I'm not changing that, I'm not putting any new language in statute--and those small operators who would apply for a construction permit, none of the other ones would apply. So I need to reiterate that, because I'm not sure that everybody has gotten that message. AM3168 is not AM3035, and that is important. I have some language that I'm working on to further modify AM3168 that may take into account what I heard NDEQ saying. I will state this now and I will work with them as well. On page 26, I would strike the semicolon, and insert new language that would read, and the annual updates of any changes to the nutrient management plan. That, I think, addresses the issue of what NDEQ is saying. But I also hear Senator Schrock offering me to work on this on Select File. I'm a little hesitant to wait till Select File. I would like to see some adjustments...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR PREISTER: ...on General File. Right now there's a lot more influence by some of the big producers and people who have more clout when we pass it on General File and move over to Select File. Right now time is perhaps more on my side and the side of the local people who would like this amendment. Some of the people who testified in opposition to the bill, I would like to see. If we're going to work out something, I don't think we're that far apart. I don't think this portion of it is that