

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

Select File. That offer is genuine, it's good, and I think the committee has worked with you in...when we've been in committee, and so I would like the chance to come to an agreement on this issue, and I don't think we're going to do it on the floor this morning. I'll turn the rest of my time back to the Chair.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Beutler. And this will be your third time, Senator.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, it's been a kind of interesting discussion. I don't know where we're going on this bill if such a simple amendment as this is obnoxious to people. This doesn't have anything to do with increasing the burden on the small operator. In fact, the whole federal act has the tendency to loosen the burden on the small operator. And the requiring of the filing of the documents is only with regard to those larger operations that are required to have nutrient management plans. So I'm not understanding how the small operator is being dragged into this by virtue of the Preister amendment. This amendment does absolutely nothing with regard to the purvey of the federal regulation with respect to the size of operations. All it's saying is it's a little filing requirement. When you amend the nutrient management plan--and small operations aren't even required to have a nutrient management plan--but for the larger ones, when you have a nutrient management plan, and hundreds of these are already in existence and filed at the department, if at any time you go in and change it, which probably isn't done all that often, all it says is drop a copy of it in the file so that when the public out there in the rural areas wants to see what the management plan is for a particular operation, they can go look in the file and see that it's amended up to date. You should want that. You should want it for a number of reasons, including the building of trust in the rural communities. If they know that everything that is required is right there on file and they can look at it, it's a very open process, everybody reaches the same understanding. There are no misunderstandings because part of the plans aren't filed or whatever. It just seems like a reasonable communications requirement to me, and not one at all that in any way drags in smaller operators. The information in the nutrient management plan--and I want to go through the rest