

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004      LB 916, 962

regulation, so I did not notify anybody of anything. If we have a requirement such as the one Senator Preister is presenting to us, there must be an updating annually. If it was necessary, there could be a statement that if no change has occurred the operator would make that fact known. But I am not trustful of those entities whose job it is to protect the environment from abuse and misuse when those entities are under the control of the abusers and the misusers. I don't think...I call it the stagecoach bank but it's called Wells Fargo. I think that's probably one of the largest banks in the world, maybe the second largest but it's large. I don't think they would hire the Jesse James bank security guard firm to set up a system to protect their bank from Frank James and the Dalton brothers. But when it comes to the environment, in statute there is a collection of people put together whom I would describe as a weird personnel, and they are being given too much in the way of trust, which they have not merited. If a person can say I was not required under the law to account for certain activities and, therefore, I did not and, therefore, number two, you cannot hold me accountable for not having notified you of certain things, we have created a loophole if that situation exists because of what the statute says or doesn't say. I have not heard anything that militates against...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...adopting Senator Preister's amendment. Senator Schrock is just shaky. He's shaky on everything. He's shaky on LB 962, he's shaky on LB 916, and he's just shaky and I can understand that. But there are other people with a genuine concern about the environment and regulation, whether it takes the form of a rule or a statute, who will try to make sure that the environment and the public will be protected. Any language in an amendment can be massaged to make it refined and more closely do what is intended, but so far I've heard no arguments that would make me see a reason not to adopt Senator Preister's amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.