

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004      LB 916, 962

does it suggest that LB 962 is a way over amount of overregulation in statute? Or does it suggest that LB 916 needs a lot more beef, to protect the interest of all parties, in statute? And another irony between the two bills is that LB 962, notwithstanding that it's a hundred and some pages and complex, is, in my opinion at least, very well drafted. This bill, which is, when you eliminate the refuge provisions which the senator...which are not relevant and the senator will delete, Senator Schrock will delete with one of the forthcoming amendments, when you eliminate that, it's less than 30 pages, and yet, and it's not very well drafted, and it incorporates this thick pile of federal regulations. Some of what's going to be going on in this bill is simply an effort to understand and to come to an agreement that the Environmental Council of DEQ should have the power to implement this thick set of regulations with the very sparse language that we have in LB 916, and whether that's agreeable to you or not agreeable to you. But it would be interesting to hear from Senator Schrock at some point in time as to why LB 962 has to be so complex in statute, again, a hundred and, what, thirty or forty pages, Senator, or more?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And...

SENATOR SCHROCK: I think it's more than that. I'm used to nodding because I'm married, so...

SENATOR BEUTLER: How many are there, Senator?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I think 152 pages.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, 152 pages in statute. Well, starting out on this particular bill and I...there are a number of things I think should be asked about the way it's drafted, and on your particular provision, Senator, this is a minor amendment to line 25 on page 6.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The phrase you're amending says: Two or more