

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

them are fairly technical, but it does add more rules.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you're striking and adding something else.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes. Yes, that is correct. Yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm going to have to then try to get situated on what's happening. Thank you, Senator Schrock. Members of the Legislature, I'm not sure exactly what this amendment is doing. My gadget was slow in coming up, but Senator Thompson had somebody come and get it situated, so it's going to take me a few minutes to become aware of what this amendment does. I know that when somebody is talking about a bill such as this and it is complicated, and the term "technical" is applied, many eyes will glaze over because that word "technical" usually means we're dealing with something that, first of all, is not of any great consequence. It's more in the nature of a corrective amendment relative to language, or something that has no real significance. But, in reading through this committee amendment, which will become the bill, I saw a lot that I do not understand, so Senator Preister is correct about that. But I also saw some things that lead me to have questions about this whole process. Having said that, I now want to ask Senator Schrock a question that's apart from the amendment that's before us right now.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, respond?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock, those requirements that the federal government will impose or require the state to comply with will have a date of 2007 when the state must be in compliance. Is that true or is that false?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I'd have to double-check the note, Senator Chambers. I'm not going to dispute that one way or the other.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR SCHROCK: But you are correct.