

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 16, 2004 LB 916

have far to go. Much of this we're already doing. Much of it is already a part of what the NDEQ is doing in their practice, and so there really isn't a lot of change. The amendment or substitute amendment, if we get that substituted, I think helps add some additional clarity, but it's already being done by the NDEQ, so it isn't really an additional requirement. Again, my purpose, and I state it, is not to go back on any implied or stated...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR PREISTER: ...word with Senator Schrock, and I apologize to him publicly here again if that's what it may seem. My goal is to make sure the public is engaged in the process and has access, particularly to the nutrient management plan, so zoning can be done and so that people, members of the community, have that information to help make those determinations. The other goal that I have is education, to help add clarity so that we know what we're doing as we're doing this. I, in conclusion, will state that this amendment that Senator Schrock has introduced I do support. It's a clarifying amendment on a portion of the committee amendment, and I think it does help the committee amendment and, therefore, I do support it. Thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Preister. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion of AM3030 to the Natural Resources Committee amendment. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Schrock a question for the record.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, would you respond?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock, the only thing this amendment does is to strike what you referred to as the Garden County amendment. Is that correct?

SENATOR SCHROCK: It does add some more CAFO rules. Most of