

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2004 LB 599

requirement that an engineer or an architect or both be involved with any structures? Just leave it to the builder and create such heavy liability that it will drive the builder to employ an architect or an engineer as needed.

SENATOR JENSEN: Sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That wouldn't be...that would not be prudent, would it, because some builders would not do it and they could just file bankruptcy, if they were hit with a judgment they couldn't pay?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR JENSEN: Unfortunately, you're correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the public policy is wise that requires the use of architects and engineers when we're dealing with certain structures.

SENATOR JENSEN: Correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If now certain structures are to be exempted from the statute by the requirement in statute, had we not created that exemption they would have required an architect and an engineer.

SENATOR JENSEN: That's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, why is it now safe to build those buildings without the use of an architect and an engineer when before we enact this statute it's not safe?

SENATOR JENSEN: Well, we've always had something governing this. It's only that we're increasing the amount somewhat from, like I said, several years ago it was 40, now it's 80, and so we allow that, or I would think when we say...when we allow it to increase, we will allow it to increase at some...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.