

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2004      LB 599

General's Opinion, but I trust my judgment more than I do his, and all he can do is give an opinion and say he thinks it's constitutional. But you all wouldn't have expense money now if I had accepted an Attorney General's Opinion which said that cannot be done. Give that a thought. If I had gone by what the Attorney General said, you wouldn't have expenses, because he said it could not be done legislatively. But, being rebellious and disobedient, I disobeyed him and now you all, some of you, get more in your expense check than you get in your paycheck. So I'm not sure where I can go to get somebody to offer an opinion that would be credible, but I believe my analysis is correct. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, you are the next to speak.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Thank you, Senator. Senator Chambers, let me just explore what you were exploring a little bit, to see if I understood you correctly. But you're basically saying that because there are no standards, it is inappropriate and a violation of the delegation of powers concept to give the board, in this case, that kind of authority. Back under 81-3402, where it describes the intent of the general regulation relating to engineers and architects, it says, "In order to safeguard life, health, and property and to promote the public welfare, the professions of architecture and engineering are declared to be subject to regulation." And then it goes on down and has some more descriptive material. But if, Senator, if the standard for changing these exemptions were in the hands of the board but was guided by the language of "to safeguard life, health, and property," in other words, they could increase the exemptions if they thought that it promoted those ends and to promote the public welfare, would that be the sort of thing that would be sufficient to save these provisions, in your opinion, under the delegation of power section?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Chambers, did you wish to respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. I had looked at that language because I was over with Senator Jensen, and it would be almost like saying we're going to grant this broad authority and it is not designed