

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2004

LB 888

and farther along that line I would strike the word "the." And what's left would say, "Consumers have an expectation that newly built houses or buildings," we don't have to say that "the" newly built houses or buildings so on line 4 those are the two words that I would strike. And before I go on with the amendment, I'd like to ask Senator...oh, we're off the committee amendment, I'd like to ask Senator Friend a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Friend, will you yield?

SENATOR FRIEND: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Friend, intent language is not really operative, but I was wondering if after the word "have" in line 4 instead of just saying "Consumers have an expectation" we would say "they have and are entitled to an expectation" to indicate that this is not just something that they would like to see happen, but based on what this bill is doing, they're entitled to that. Now I don't have that as an amendment, but at some point maybe I would think about putting those words in because I think it is an entitlement what the bill is offering. What do you think?

SENATOR FRIEND: Senator, I guess my journalism background is coming out. I'd take it a step further and journalism is not like legalese, but I would say you can say "Consumers expect that," I mean that pretty much sums it up. Now again considering that writing for a newspaper or journalistic type of prose would be different than the way you folks have been doing it for the last 30 years in constructing your legislation, I don't know if that sounds good to you or not. I guess to answer your question, I don't have a problem with that, but I would almost even take it a step further.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But here, okay, but the part I'm really interested in is putting words that would indicate they're entitled to this expectation. But let me go on with the amendment that I have. I just wanted to throw that in because it jumped out at me as I was proceeding. I would then drop down to line 14 and instead of saying "leaving the state to pay for imported," what they're talking about is money, to reduce the