

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2004 LB 906

reserved for later. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on FA1551, offered by Senator Chambers to AM2963. Open for discussion on that amendment. Are several lights. Senator Hudkins, followed by Senators Combs and Stuhr. Senator Hudkins.

SENATOR HUDKINS: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. Senator Chambers, I just talked to Senator Stuhr and she said that she probably did not have a problem with your amendment, but I wanted to give you an example of, yes, when it is used for medical purposes. A year ago I went through a breast cancer procedure and when I was in the process of doing the...or getting ready to do the radiation, instead of using a magic marker on me every morning to mark where they had to line up 14 different sets of lasers, they requested that they do tattooing. They said it would be just a dot, no bigger than you would get with a felt-tip marker, in three separate positions on my body, and I said no. But that was an instance where it was a medical procedure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Microphone malfunction)...may I...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Was that a question?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Please, take my...take my time if you need it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator, I would like to ask you a question. Were they going to call in a tattooist to do this?

SENATOR HUDKINS: No, but I think in this particular paragraph, and I might be taking this out of context, but in this particular paragraph it does not say that it has to be a tattoo artist.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But it's a part of the definition of "permanent color technology," and it would be implicated in what we're doing here. So if a medical person did it, it could be a